

Large Onsite Sewage System Rule Development Committee

Meeting Summary

| | | | |
|----------------------------|--|--|---|
| Group or Committee: | Large Onsite Sewage System Rule Development Committee | | |
| Meeting #: | Fourth Meeting | | |
| Date & Time: | July 22, 2004 / 9:30 AM – 3:30 PM | | |
| Meeting Site: | Sea-Tac Occupational Skills Center | | |
| Facilitator: | Eric Svaren | | |
| Member Attendance: | Linda Atkins Richard Benson, PE Anna Crickmer, PE Kathy Cupps (Alternate) Jerry Deeter, RS Terry Hull | Scott E. Jones, PE Arnold Larsen, PE Peter Lombardi Steven C. Marble Jim Patterson | Jim Sayre Art Starry (Alternate) John Stormon Bill Stuth, Jr. Robert F. Sweeney |
| Staff Attendance: | Marianne Seifert / SBOH Dave Lenning | | |
| Guest Attendance: | Jim Gleason Mel Thom | | |

Topics Discussed

- ▶ **Welcome & Review**
 - ▷ **Review of the Agenda**
 - ▲ Eric Svaren presented the meeting agenda to the group and obtained a general agreement for the day's meeting plan.
 - ▷ **June 17 Meeting Summary**
 - ▲ The Meeting Summary for the 3rd meeting was presented to the group by Eric, reviewed, and accepted by general agreement of the committee members with a couple amendments.
 - ▷ Discussion ensued on how much discussion should occur on each decision agenda item and when the facilitator should cut off discussion. There was agreement that the group needed to communicate when/if they felt discussion was concluded too early.
- ▶ **Discussion on Topic 18/21 in Construction Topics**
 - ▷ Terry Hull will talk to Richard about Escrow research
 - ▷ Anna Crickmer stated she had looked into bonds and concluded they were unworkable
- ▶ **Discussion of Topics in Engineering Requirements and Design Standards, LOSS Management, and Practitioner Qualifications & Roles**
 - ▷ Richard Benson presented three topics for discussion: #19, 1, & 22. Active discussion ensued on all topics, with additional options being suggested for some topics and amendments to options for others. Where the group was ready to proceed to a decision, they did so.
- ▶ **LOSS Rule Revision DRAFT / WAC 246-272B**
 - ▷ Richard Benson & Dave Lenning briefly updated the committee on the development of a "first" combined draft, incorporating elements in the existing 246-272B and the LOSS guidance documents. The committee indicated they would like to see a draft indicating where the different portions came from (WAC or guidance) but didn't care to see cross-outs or underlines.

Decisions Made

- ▶ **Engineering Requirements and Design Standards Topic**
 - ▷ Discussion lead to decisions on Topic Number 19. See DRAFT Record of Decisions.
 - ▷ Richard recommended that Topic Number 35 be addressed later by DOH, working with the TRC. Their conclusions could then be considered for inclusion in the WAC the next time it opens.

Large Onsite Sewage System Rule Development Committee

► **LOSS Management**

- ▷ Discussion did not lead to a decision on Topic Number 1. Terry Hull suggested the USEPA management document should be reviewed. John Stormon suggested that legal advice was needed for further discussion of collection of fees from those who refuse to pay. Steve Marble recommended that a responsible entity is needed to control any trust accounts, to assure dollars aren't spent for other purposes. John Stormon, Anna Crickmer, and Linda Atkins offered to participate in a conference call with Richard and Eric to develop a recommendation for further group discussion.

► **Practitioner Qualifications & Roles**

- ▷ Discussion did not lead to a decision on Topic Number 22. There were a number of ideas advanced, none of which the entire group was willing to accept. The ideas included: An installer shall have been approved locally for a certain number of years; the ability to install should be based on the results of past installation (are they all done, were they done well); use an affidavit like Pierce County does; identify an entity to be responsible for everything, e.g. the design engineer; look at the Construction Assurance Plan in DOE's WAC; make the owner responsible (get sample language from Linda Atkins); place ideas in guidance; must show familiarity with technology or be approved by a specific proprietary device manufacturer.

► **Future Meeting Date**

- ▷ August 11, 2004
- ▷ September 15, 2004

Follow-up Actions & Assignments

- Committee support staff will:
 - ▷ Further explore and develop draft rule language to implement decisions made by the committee for Topic Number 18/21, leading to final discussion at the next meeting.
 - ▷ Develop Decision Agenda for as many of the following as possible:
 - ▲ The remaining Engineering Requirements / Design Standards, Topic Numbers 28, 20;
 - ▲ LOSS Management, Topic Numbers 1, 2, & 4;
 - ▲ Practitioner Qualifications & Roles, Topic Numbers 3, 22, 34, 31, 32; and
 - ▲ Enforcement, Topic Numbers 23 & 24.
 - ▷ Continue discussions between DOH and LHJs and between DOE and DOH. The results of these discussions will be discussed at the next meeting, with the possible development of decision agendas.
 - ▷ Continue to develop the committee's base document, the LOSS Rule Revision DRAFT / WAC 246-272B

Agenda Items for Next Meeting

- Accept the Meeting Summary for the July 22, 2004 meeting.
- Discussion & Action on topics in these categories: Engineering Requirements & Design Standards, Loss Management, Practitioner Qualifications & Roles, LOSS "Contract Counties, and Ecology/DOH Coordination".
- Introduce discussion topics for the 6th meeting.

Debriefing Comments

Positives: Lunch in courtyard, staff familiar with program here

Changes: Less done today (less organized decision agendas; Bigger projection image; Be more specific in comments/proposals; Keep on parking lot

Meeting Summary Attachments

- LOSS RDC Decision Agenda & Record of Decisions

Future Meeting

Meeting #: *Fifth Meeting*

Date & Time: *August 11, 2004 / 9:30 AM – 3:30 PM*

Meeting Site: *Sea-Tac Occupational Skills Center*